

## From the Editor—It's Not CSI: The Importance of Forensics for Social Work Education

When most people hear the term *forensic*, they immediately think of the popular television show *CSI: Crime Scene Investigation* or, perhaps, other crime shows that feature highly sophisticated laboratory and computer equipment that quickly lead the police to identifying the perpetrator of a sensational crime. Needless to say, this is not an accurate portrayal of real-world forensic investigation, as recently evidenced by the alarming discovery of between 100,000 and 400,000 untested rape kits nationwide, with some sitting in police evidence lockers for many decades (Graham, 2015). Importantly, this is also not an accurate depiction of forensic social work, which rarely relies on laboratory evidence. And yet, forensic practice is fairly widespread in our profession, even though most social workers in forensic settings do not identify themselves as forensic social workers.

Although there are a number of definitions of what, exactly, forensic social work encompasses, in the narrowest sense it is the intersection of social work practice and the legal system. Maschi and Killian (2011), however, have argued for a broader and more integrative definition that not only explicitly includes our profession's commitment to social justice and human rights but also stresses the collaborative nature of forensic social work. Forensic social workers are found in a variety of settings and perform diverse roles and functions, including child and elder protection, child advocacy, child custody cases, termination of parental rights and adoptions, services to juvenile and adults in correctional institutions, court mandated mental health and substance abuse treatment, services for crime victims, community service for offenders and restitution to victims, human trafficking, domestic violence, education, health care, welfare rights, kinship and elder care, evaluation of competency in civil and criminal trials, sentence mitigation, and mediation. In addition, social workers in many settings may be called to testify in court as either fact or expert witnesses (Barker & Branson, 2000; Maschi & Killian, 2011; Munson, 2011). Given the numerous arenas in which forensic social work is present, we believe it is important for social work educators to be aware of the breadth of forensic social work and the unique challenges that social work practitioners face when they are involved in forensic roles and settings.

Not coincidentally, forensic social work evolved concurrently with social work practice and shares the same roots. From the English Poor Laws, which determined the categories of people who legally deserved assistance and became embedded in colonial policies of the United States, to the formation of the juvenile court, to the social reform movements of the early 1900s, forensic social work has been present, at least to some extent, in community and clinical practice from the onset (Maschi & Killian, 2011; Roberts & Brownell, 1999). However, according to Munson (2011), forensic social work did not take on a larger role within the profession until the

1960s, when people began to turn to the legal system as a remedy for issues previously not dealt with in the legal venue.

Although social workers are trained in various aspects of social work practice, they are rarely trained in the forensic or legal arena. Forensic social work involves boundary spanning, challenging social workers to address the interconnectedness of society's legal and human service systems (Barker & Branson, 2000). Central to forensic social work is the ability of social workers to navigate the legal and criminal justice systems with which their clients are often involved or are at risk of involvement. This necessarily includes social workers' ability to convey information in court through competent testimony as fact or expert witnesses. Unfortunately, social work programs rarely prepare students for the reality of social work practice in the courts or with forensic populations or settings, based on the idea that they will receive on-the-job training. Many judges complain that social workers are inadequate witnesses, and that their lack of competence in court adversely affects case decisions. It does not bode well for either our clients or the profession if we continue to ignore this and other forensic issues that are central to our professional mission.

However, recent current events such as the deaths of Black men Trayvon Martin, Eric Garner, and Michael Brown and the Black Lives Matter movement appear to have provided a wakeup call to the social work profession. It is becoming common knowledge among social workers that the United States is the largest incarcerator in the world, with more than 2.3 million individuals in prison, of which 200,000 are elderly (American Civil Liberties Union, 2012). Adding to this is the reality of racial disproportionality, which is apparent not only in the adult criminal justice system but for juveniles as well (McCarter, 2011). And although other social problems such as family and community violence persist, social work programs have paid minimal attention to educating students to navigate these many forensic topics, even though they intersect with human rights and social justice. Although their reaction is overdue, social work programs across the nation have finally begun to recognize how systemic racism and oppression contribute not only to social injustice but also to forensic injustice. Of special importance was a collective call to end structural racism and violence by promoting more restorative approaches to justice matters (National Association of Deans and Directors of Schools of Social Work, 2015). This call to action further demonstrates the necessity of providing students with forensic social work content in the curriculum.

With the recent release of the Council on Social Work Education's 2015 Educational Policy (CSWE, 2015), the time is ripe for examining how we might better address forensic issues and content in our courses and curriculum. CSWE states that the "purpose of the social work profession is to promote human and community well-being" (CSWE, 2015). It expects social workers not only to be competent to "advance human rights and social and economic justice" but also to understand the "relevant laws and regulations that may impact practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels" (CSWE, 2015). The revised competencies also infuse an intersectionality framework based on characteristics such as race, gender, social class, as well as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transsexual, mental health, and immigration status, among others. Yet our educational policies fail to address explicitly the fact that these groups are extremely likely to be victimized and criminalized as a form of oppression. They also pay scant attention to how one's legal status or criminal justice history is another type of disadvantaged social location in which people often experience ongoing social exclusion and discrimination, particularly in areas such as housing and employment. Given these mandates, we believe it is imperative that the social work curriculum prepare students to work in the reality of the increasingly forensic world we all live in.

A handful of social work programs have already instituted forensic social work specializations, and others offer the option of a joint or dual master of social work and juris doctor degree. Short of major curriculum revision or the addition of a forensic specialization, there are other ways to prepare students within the structure of existing courses and curricula. For example, students can engage in forensic social work research and empowerment practice or formulate and advocate for policies to reduce comorbid health, behavioral health, and criminal justice disparities. Perhaps above all, we must increase our commitment to working with often stigmatized populations (McCarter, 2011).

Importantly, forensic social work requires interprofessional collaboration. However, social workers must first learn to appreciate the professional differences between lawyers, law enforcement, and social workers so they can learn to navigate the legal arena. We believe that it is critical for social work programs to not only prepare students for the reality of professional practice but also educate social work students and colleagues about the legal aspects of their work and the expectations of ethical and best-practice standards when intersecting with the legal arena.

Increased scholarship about forensic issues in social work and innovations in forensic social work education are also necessary to make the profession more aware of the breadth of forensic social work practice. As a start to accomplish this, we would like to invite our readers to contribute forensically based articles to the *Journal of Social Work Education*, the *Journal of Forensic Social Work*, and *Traumatology: An International Journal*. The time is ripe. The time is now to return to our charity roots with a commitment to justice for all.

Susan P. Robbins  
Editor-in-Chief  
*Journal of Social Work Education*

Viola Vaughan-Eden  
Editor-in-Chief  
*Journal of Forensic Social Work*

Tina Maschi  
Associate Editor  
*Traumatology: An International Journal*

## REFERENCES

- American Civil Liberties Union. (2012). *The mass incarceration of the elderly*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Barker, R. L., & Branson, D. M. (2000). *Forensic social work: Legal aspects of professional practice* (2nd ed.). Binghamton, NY: Haworth.
- Council on Social Work Education. (2015). *Final 2015 Educational Policy (EP), developed by CSWE Commission on Educational Policy (COEP), approved by the CSWE Board of Directors March 2015*. Retrieved from <http://www.cswe.org/File.aspx?id=79793>
- Graham, D. A. (2015, February 24). Rapists go free while rape kits go untested. *Atlantic*. Retrieved from <http://www.theatlantic.com/national/archive/2015/02/how-many-crimes-could-clearing-the-rape-kit-backlog-stop/385943/>

- Maschi, T., & Killian, M. L. (2011). The evolution of forensic social work in the United States: Implications for 21st century practice. *Journal of Forensic Social Work, 1*, 8–36.
- McCarter, S. A. (2011). Disproportionate minority contact in the American juvenile justice system: Where are we after 20 years, a philosophy shift, and three amendments? *Journal of Forensic Social Work, 1*, 96–107.
- Munson, C. (2011). Forensic social work practice standards: Definition and specification. *Journal of Forensic Social Work, 1*, 37–60.
- National Association of Deans and Directors of Schools of Social Work. (2015). *Directors/chairs/deans responses to national events of social injustice*. Retrieved from <http://www.naddssw.org/resources/directorschairsdeans-responses-to-national-events-of-social-injustice>
- Roberts, A. R., & Brownell, P. (1999). A century of forensic social work: Bridging the past to the present. *Social Work, 44*, 359–369.

Copyright of Journal of Social Work Education is the property of Routledge and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.